

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,852	11/07/2000	Andrey Zarur Jury	S1417/7004 9828	
7590 02/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Timothy J Oyer			REDDING, DAVID A	
Wolf Greenfield	l & Sacks PC			
600 Atlantic Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02210			1744	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/707,852	JURY, ANDREY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David A. Redding	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address ~					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No	ovember 2005.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 157-174 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 157-174 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/30/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/707,852

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

The abandonment of 12/1/05 has been withdrawn in with the Request For Continuing Examination, filed 11/30/05.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 11/30/05 was sufficient in overcoming the rejections of the final rejections, mailed 5/17/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 174, 157-173, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The examiners understanding of the invention as described in the specification is a reactor (10) which comprises a plurality of reaction units (12,14,18,18). The reaction units are connectable and detachable. Only one of the reaction units has a surface which is suitable for cell growth, that being unit (16). Claim 174 specifies, a plurality of reaction units constructed to operate in parallel, each reaction unit comprising an inlet, an outlet, and a fluid pathway connecting the inlet and outlet, the fluid pathway comprising a chamber having a surface suitable for cell growth ...". Accordingly, the claims are considered to not supported by the specification. Further, claims 168 thru 173 are also confusing and appear not to be supported by the specification.

Art Unit: 1744

The specification discloses that a plurality of "reactors" operate in parallel, however they are not disclosed as being "attachable and separable". There appears to be some confusing between the disclosed function of "reactors" (10) and "reaction units" (12,14,16,18). It is the examiners opinion that the claims are not commensurate with the specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 174 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 157 thru 173 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/707,852 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

DAR